

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/603,408	06/23/2003	Lawrence J. Mika	60655.1000	4181
20322 7	7590 09/13/2006		EXAMINER	
SNELL & WILMER			OSBORNE, LUKE R	
400 EAST VA	- ·		ARTIBUT	DARED MUMBER
ONE ARIZONA CENTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHOENIX, AZ 85004-2202			2123	,
			DATE MAILED: 00/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/603,408	MIKA, LAWRENCE J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Luke Osborne	2123				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	<u>ıly 2006</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/25/2006 has been entered.

Claim Status

2. Claims 1-11 have been presented for reconsideration.

Claims 1-11 are now pending in the instant application. Claims 1-11 stand rejected.

Applicants' arguments submitted 07/25/2006 have been fully considered, Examiners response is as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Examiner acknowledges the argument regarding claim 3. Applicant has provided the following enabling disclosure from Paragraph 58 where "the Interface File Definition is then used by Interface File Builder 210 to translate the file from the format used by financial institution 102 to a format useable by accounting system 106". Therefore the

Application/Control Number: 10/603,408 Page 3

Art Unit: 2123

single translation step requires an input format an output format and a interface file definition. Consequently the rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 1-6, 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Coleman of record.

Regarding claim 1, Colman discloses a computer-implemented method for facilitating the translation of files between formats. See figures 2B and 3 and the corresponding portions of Colman's specification for this teaching. In particular, Colman discloses "A method for facilitating the translation of files comprising:

- establishing, via a host computer, definitions comprising at least one of sorting criteria, filter criteria, data range, file format, [the present invention can also be used to perform conversions of the file formats] accounting codes, and formatting criteria [adjusting the formatting] [Column 6, lines 9-24];
- creating, via said host computer, an interface file including said definitions
 [Figure 3, item 202];
- reading, via said host computer, data from a first source [Figure 3, item
 212];

reading, via said host computer, said definitions contained in said interface
 file [Figure 3, item 202];

Page 4

- translating, via said host computer, using only a single translation of said data from said first source to a second source according to said definitions contained in said interface file [Figure 3, item 216] and
 - saving, onto host computer, said interface file [Figure 1]" as claimed.

Applicant's Argument

Coleman does not disclose or suggest at least "translating, via said host computer, using only a single translation of said data from said first source according to said definitions contained in said interface file".

Examiners Response

The Examiner has considered Applicant's arguments and found them to be unpersuasive. Regarding Applicant's characterization of Coleman the Examiner respectively disagrees and traverses Applicant's argument.

Applicant's contend that a user may create a new intermediate environment or select an existing one, but more than a single translation is always requires. And that removal of the intermediate environment from Coleman would render the data translation system inoperable and literally destroy the functionality of the Coleman system.

The Examiner reasserts that [In step 201, the data conversion system and method receives a definition of any desired intermediate data formats, i.e., any desired intermediate environments. (Column 9, lines 55-57)], and furthermore that It is noted

Art Unit: 2123

that the creation of data formats does not require that the format be specified as an input format or an output format. Rather, once a data format environment has been created, this data format environment can be used as either an input, intermediate, or output data format (Column 10, lines 40-45).

Therefore, in step 201, if the user desires to use one or more intermediate data environments in the data conversion process, the user enters information regarding the respective intermediate environments and units and parts for each of the respective intermediate environments. These definitions are received by the system in step 201. It is noted that the creation of intermediate environments is optional depending upon the user's application. Column 10, lines 25-32 emphasis added

While Applicant's believe that the removal of the intermediate environment would render the Coleman system inoperable, the Examiner has shown above that is not the case as clearly thought out by Coleman. Rather then be inoperable Applicant's interpretation of Coleman would be as Coleman described as prior art, see Figure 1.

Regarding claim 2, Colman discloses the method of claim 1 "wherein said establishing step comprises:

- receiving¹ information regarding a type of said interface file to be created;
- receiving data regarding a desired file format; and
- receiving formatting data regarding a format of said data being translated
 [In order to begin the data conversion process, a user is first required to input information regarding the input data in input data format and the desired output data format for the converted data. (Column 8, lines 21-24)]" as claimed.

¹ Examiner notes the amendment to claim 2 changing entering (which requires the user as disclosed) to receiving, receiving has been interpreted as –receiving user—as is consistent with the specification.

Art Unit: 2123

Regarding claim 3, Colman discloses the method of claim 2 "wherein said receiving formatting data step comprises: entering said formatting data for each field of said data being translated [Thus, here the user specifies the data format of the input environment including all of the tables or units and all of the parts or fields of the respective records in each of the respective tables. (Column 8, lines 50-53)]" as claimed.

Regarding claim 4, Colman discloses the method of claim 3, "wherein said formatting data comprises:

- a field name;
- a description;
- a length of the field;
- an indicator of fixed-width/variable width status;
- a mask to force data into a particular style;
- justification information;
- position information; and
- element information [Thus, here the user specifies the data format of the input environment including all of the tables or units and all of the parts or fields of the respective records in each of the respective tables. (Column 8, lines 50-53)] [The present invention also includes a data mapping object for records which utilize this field definition referred to herein as parts. Parts define the actual interworkings of a record, i.e., the type, the size, and the format of a respective

Art Unit: 2123

record. In the example described above, the parts of the above employee data record would be the name field, the social security number field, the salary field, the date of birth field, etc. (Column 8, lines 5-11)]" as claimed.

Regarding claim 5, Colman discloses the method of claim 4 "wherein said element information comprises:

- information regarding a type of said data in said field, wherein said
 information comprises at least one of:
 - a calculated expression;
 - a static table entry;
 - alphanumeric information; and
- a query [Thus, here the user specifies the data format of the input environment including all of the tables or units and all of the parts or fields of the respective records in each of the respective tables. (Column 8, lines 50-53)] [The present invention also includes a data mapping object for records which utilize this field definition referred to herein as parts. Parts define the actual interworkings of a record, i.e., the type, the size, and the format of a respective record. In the example described above, the parts of the above employee data record would be the name field, the social security number field, the salary field, the date of birth field, etc. (Column 8, lines 5-11)].

Regarding claim 6, Colman discloses the method of claim 2 "further comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/603,408

Art Unit: 2123

selecting a template file as a starting point for creating the interface file

[Figure 3, item 200 - 202];

entering data regarding a sorting preference [Figure 3, item 204 - 208];

and

entering data regarding a filtering preference." as claimed. [Figure 3, item.

204 - 208]

Regarding claim 8, Colman discloses the method of claim 1 "wherein said

translating step further comprises:

creating said second source of a predetermined type;

writing said data to said second source; and,

saving said second source [In step 218 the converted output data is stored in a

destination medium. It is noted that this destination medium may be the same medium

on which the input data was stored or may be a new medium (second source) (Column

15, lines 15-18)]" as claimed

Regarding claim 9, Colman discloses the method of claim 1 "wherein said step of

establishing definitions further comprises establishing definitions having a property

relating to a data field [the present invention can also be used to perform conversions of

the file formats ... adjusting the formatting (Column 6, lines 9-24)]" as claimed.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 10/603,408 Page 9

Art Unit: 2123

Regarding claim 10, Colman discloses the method of claim 9 "wherein said property includes at least on of

field name, description, status, length flag, length, minimum length, maximum length, format mask, justification, pad character, quote, delimiter flag, start position, end position, counter interment flag, element, query field, static table entry, counter, fixed test, expression, character function and common expression [the present invention uses field definition data objects referred to as parts which define the inner workings of a record, i.e., the type, the size, and the format, etc. of each field in a record (Column 2, line 57- Column 3, line 5)]" as claimed.

Regarding claim 11, Colman discloses the method of claim 1 "wherein said step of creating, via said host computer, an interface file includes creating only one interface file [Figure 3, item 202]" as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coleman in view of Free On-Line Dictionary of Computing definition of the term "wizard" http://foldoc.doc.ia.ac.uk/foldoc/foldoc.cgi?wizard printed 6/1/05, created (1998-09-07) hereafter "FOLDOC".

Regarding claim 7, Coleman discloses the method of claim 1 wherein said step of establishing definitions comprises establishing definitions based upon a user via a user interface.

Coleman, does not expressly teach that the [step of establishing definition comprises establishing definition based upon a response to a question presented to a user via a user interface (emphasis added)].

FOLDOC teaches the use of a "wizard" or [FOLDOC: an interactive help utility that guides the user through a potentially complex task ...(4th definition)]

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the "wizard" functionality as disclosed by FOLDOC with the system as described by Coleman.

The motivation for doing so would have been to allow an average user (one with out particular knowledge of the complexities of a system as required by Coleman Figure 3, items 204-208) to perform expertly as disclosed by FOLDOC [4th definition, lines 3-5].

Application/Control Number: 10/603,408

Art Unit: 2123

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Luke Osborne whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4027. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Paul L. Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-3753. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LRO

PAUL RODRIGUEZ

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 11

Za lu los